

June 5, 2012

End of Year Chancellor's Planning and Budget Committee Report

Nichole Kloepfer

The Budget and Planning Committee meets weekly and is currently reviewing FY13 funding requests. A total of 52 requests were submitted by the various units at UAF. A total of 14 million is being requested. These proposals break into allocation, one-time, capital, and legislative.

The committee is in the process of change. In order to evaluate the need of proposals differently the Provost separated the PBB committee into accreditation theme sub committees: Educate Prepare, Research, Engage, Connect and Capacity.

The committee mainly works with operating requests. CIP request have been difficult to pass.

General criteria for applying to all budget requests:

- For all legislative requests, must have identified community and/or business/industry support, preferably from at least several House/Senate districts.
- For internal reallocations, Vice Chancellors responsible must rank all requests in their area and provide a rationale. The P&B Committee can recommend differently but should be informed of the VC priority. The VS may offer to do his/her own internal reallocation as a "match" to stand behind their own priorities. (Not all VC's have equal ability to do that)
- For internal reallocations, broader benefits to the university will be a major creation.

The subcommittees are charged to:

- Create a definition and criteria for each theme and use them to rank the 50 PBB requests for FY13 and;
- Identify additional criteria for ranking requests within subcommittees theme:
 - For example, criteria under educate might include:
 - Number of student who will benefit magnitude of improvement
 - Cost/Revenue
 - Alignment with strategic plan
- Develop rationale for prioritization of theme
- Select top priority budget requests from those submitted

I was tasked to sit on the capacity subcommittee. The subcommittee defined capacity which is important because capacity speaks to fixed costs. Our committee developed a spreadsheet of

June 5, 2012

criteria and I sorted all proposals per the criteria. This in turn proved to be inconsistent due to the fact that some of the proposals submitted didn't follow directions when submitted etc.

Later that week the subcommittee turned in the rankings of the 4 proposals that fell under capacity.

Those requests included:

34 DGS Electronic Faculty Activity Reporting

39 Library Sustain current electronic subscriptions (increase base budget) and archive shelving: \$250,000 to cover projected reduction in ICR and former budget reallocations from other library department lines (primarily positions now filled); \$250,000 to install archival shelving; \$185,000 to cover projected increase in subscriptions (annual need 7-10% - fixed cost).

48 OIT/SW "UAF Infrastructure Upgrade & VoIP Implementation

Capital Funding Required in Phase 3 of 3"

49 OIT/SW High Priority FY13 Operating Needs & FY14 Adobe Audit Licensing Changes

For the next meeting dated 5/30/12 the subcommittees are tasked to:

- Rank the budget requests in your subcommittee theme category.
- Rank the budget requests in your subcommittee 2nd category, separately.
- Rank from 1 (top choice) to higher numbers for less favored requests. You may stop numbering after a high number (e.g., 10); all un-numbered items will be assumed to be less favorably ranked than the numbered ones.

Soon the committee as a whole will be forwarding their recommendations to Chancellor Rogers and the Chancellor's cabinet.