2015-16 Results Summary Tenure and/or Promotion Review Candidates

	University-Wide Committee		Provost		Chancellor		Success
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Rate
UAFT Promotion and Tenure							
Promotion	4	0	4	0	4	0	100.0%
UNAC Promotion and Tenure							
Tenure and Promotion: Mandatory Year	2	3	2	3	2	3	40.0%
Tenure and Promotion: Prior to Mandatory Year	3	0	3	0	3	0	100.0%
Tenure: Mandatory Year	2	0	2	0	2	0	100.0%
Tenure: Prior to Mandatory Year	1	0	1	0	1	0	100.0%
Tenure: Mandatory Year and Promotion to Full Professor	1	0	1	0	1	0	100.0%
Tenure: Prior to Mandatory Year and Promotion to Full Professor*	1	0	1	0	1	0	100.0%
Promotion	9	0	8	1	8	1	88.9%
Promotion (Clinical and Research Faculty)	8	0	8	0	8	0	100.0%
Total Promotion and Tenure Candidates	31	3	30	4	30	4	88.2%

	University-Wi	de Committee	Pro	Success	
	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Rate
UAFT: Fourth Year Pre-Tenure Review	5	0	5	0	100.0%
UNAC: Fourth Year Pre-Tenure Review	13	1	14	0	100.0%
UNAC Sixth Year Post-Tenure Review**	None	None	None	None	
Total Pre- and Post-Tenure Candidates	18	1	19	0	100.0%

^{*}The University-Wide Committee voted in favor of tenure and against promotion to full professor.

^{**}All UNAC sixth year post-tenure review files were satisfactory at the unit peer and dean/director level, so did not proceed for further review.