

The UAF Faculty Senate passed the following at Meeting #181, March 5, 2012:

(Missing amended language approved on May 6, 2011 has been added and highlighted below.)

MOTION:

The UAF Faculty Senate moves to approve an updated procedure to accomplish the program review process as required by Board of Regent policy and regulations (10.06).

EFFECTIVE: Immediately

RATIONALE: The existing program review process (Meeting #102, May 2001) does not fully meet Board of Regents policy and regulations on program review (10.06). The proposed process aligns with the new accreditation cycle, is a more efficient process, i.e., it is less burdensome on programs, and is intended to a yield more consistent quality of review. The process is intended for a program review cycle of 5 years, in accordance with Board of Regents policy.

The new program review process will be completed as follows:

1. An initial brief review based on centrally generated productivity and efficiency summary and a unit supplied two-page narrative describing mission centrality, the prospective market for graduates, the existence of similar programs elsewhere in UA, and any special circumstances that explain features of the centrally generated productivity and efficiency summary (see attached program review template for more details). The information reviewed meets the requirements set by Board of Regents Policy and Regulation (10.06; attached). A single Faculty Program Review Committee comprised of one tenured-faculty member from each college and school (not including CRCD) plus five CRCD representatives will review the materials and make one of the following recommendations:
 - Continue program
 - Continue program but improve outcomes assessment process and reporting
 - Continue program but improve other specific areas or
 - Discontinue program.The committee will provide a brief narrative justifying their recommendation and describe any areas needing improvement prior to the next review.
2. An Administrative Program Review Committee comprised of the Deans of Colleges and Schools and 4 administrative representatives from CRCD will review the recommendations of the Program Review Committee, may request additional information from about the program, and will state their collective agreement or disagreement with the Committee's recommendation.
3. The Provost* will review the recommendations of the Faculty Program Review Committee and the Administrative Program Review Committee and take one of the following actions:
 - a. Program continuation is confirmed until next review cycle

* in consultation with Chancellor's Cabinet

- b. Program continuation with an action plan prepared by the program and Dean to meet improvements needed by next review cycle. Annual progress reports will be required in some cases. Actions may also include further review by an ad hoc committee.
- c. Recommend to discontinue program. Program deletion will require Faculty Senate action. However, when appropriate admissions may be suspended pending action.

Jennifer A. Reynolds for Cahill
President, UAF Faculty Senate Date 3/5/12

APPROVAL: 
Chancellor's Office

DATE: 5 March 12

DISAPPROVED: _____
Chancellor's Office

DATE: _____