FORMAT 1

Submit original with signatures + 1 copy + electronic copy to Faculty Senate (Box 7500). See http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-senate/curriculum/course-degree-procedures-/ for a complete description of the rules governing curriculum & course changes.

TRIAL COURSE OR NEW COURSE PROPOSAL

Department	URSA (Undergraduate Research and Scholarly Activity)			College/School			Division of General Studies			
Prepared by	Barbara Taylor			Phone			474-2487			
Email Contact	beta y lot (a) alla siture a a			Faculty Contact Barbs			arbar	ra Tayloi		
1. ACTION DESIRED Trial Course			e			New Course √				
2. COURSE IDI	ENTIFICATION:	Dept	UF	RSA	Course #	4	192 No	o. of Cr	edits	1
Justify upper/lower division status & number of credits: This is a once-weekly seminar for students engaged in undergraduate research or creative scholarship providing them an opportunity to present their work and listen to other student researchers present their work. One-hour seminars are given by student researchers, especially those who are preparing to present their work at regional or national conferences. Enrolled students are expected to have been actively participating in a research project for at least one semester either as research for credit (397, 497, 388, 488, 498 courses) or as research for pay as an undergraduate research assistant. This last expectation justifies the upper division credit.						nt their becially tional rely er as arch for				
3. PROPOSED (COURSE TITLE:	Un	dergrad	uate Res	search and	Crea	tive Scho	larshij	p Sem	iinar
4. To be CROSS YES/NO	LISTED?	No	-1 A A	11:	1 - 6 6 6					
5. To be STACKA YES/NO		No No		es, Dept.	id of form for	such si	Course #			
6. FREQUENCY	OF OFFERING:	Fall	and Spri	ng seme	esters					
2					or Even-numb Demand			numbere	d Years	s) — or As
7. SEMESTER & YEAR OF FIRST OFFERING (AY2011- 12 if approved by 3/1/2012; otherwise AY2012-13) Fall 2012										
	urs may not be compre ollege or school's curri mmittee. MAT:							weeks n	nust be a	approved by
OTHER FORM Mode of delive										

9. 0	CONTACT HOURS PER WEEK:	1	LECTURE hours/weeks		LAB hours /week			CTICUM s/week
in sy	ote: # of credits are based on contact hours. 800 m non-science lab=1 credit. 2400-4800 minutes of p llabus. See http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/faculty-sen number of credits.	racticu	m=1 credit. 2400-80	00 minute	s of internship=1 cred	lit. Thi	is must n	natch with the
OT	HER HOURS (specify type)							
	MPLETE CATALOG DESCRIPTION incl stacking (50 words or less if possible): rovides undergraduate students a ven							
re W	esearch at UAF. Students will have a rill gain a broad understanding of the cross the wide range of scholarly disc	ın opp signi	portunity to gain ficance, process	n and do s and ir	evelop oral pres	entat	ion sk	ills and
<u>u</u>	cross the wide runge of sentially disc	лрии	es of other cum	Juses.				
	OURSE CLASSIFICATIONS: Undergradua classification appropriately; otherwise leave H = Humanities		blank.		A Curriculum Counc	cil to a	apply S	or H
	11 Humanics			3 Social	Belefices			
	Will this course be used to fulfill a require for the baccalaureate core? If YES, attac	h forn			YES:		NO	D: \(\)
	IF YES, check which core requirements it of O = Oral Intensive, Format 6		be used to fulfill: Writing Intensive, I	Format 7	Natur	al Scie	nce, For	mat 8
12 CO	OURSE REPEATABILITY:						,	
	Is this course repeatable for credit?		YES √		NO			
	Justification: Indicate why the course can be example, the course follows a different ther			unique be a d Under involve and/or semes that st	offering of URS e collection of spifferent course of graduate researched in multiple reprojects spanniters. According udents be allow in URSA 492 in	peake each to chers esear ing m ily, it ed to	ers, tho time. can be ch pro nultiple is app partic	e oropriate ipate (for
	How many times may the course be repeated	ed for o	credit?				1	TIMES
	If the course can be repeated for credit, who earned for this course?	at is th	e maximum numbe	r of cred	lit hours that may be	e	2	CREDITS
	If the course can be repeated with <u>variable</u> may be earned for this course?	credit,	what is the maxim	um num	ber of credit hours t	hat		CREDITS
13. GR	ADING SYSTEM: Specify only one. Note	: Late	r changing the gra	ding sys	tem for a course co	nstitu	ites a M	lajor Course
	Change. LETTER PASS/FAIL:	V]					

action.

RESTRICTIONS ON ENROLLMENT (if any)								
14. PREREQUISITES none	. PREREQUISITES none							
These will be <i>required</i> before the student is allowed to enroll in the course.								
15. SPECIAL RESTRICTIONS, CONDITIONS none								
16. PROPOSED COURSE FEES \$0								
Has a memo been submitted through your dean to the Provost for fee approval? Yes/No								
1 05/110								
17. PREVIOUS HISTORY								
Has the course been offered as special topics or Yes/No	trial course previously? no							
If yes, give semester, year, course #, etc.:	Never offered as an URSA course, but a similar course has been instructed as Biology 492 by Barbara Taylor who will now instruct it for URSA.							
10 ECTIMATED IMPACT								
18. ESTIMATED IMPACT WHAT IMPACT, IF ANY, WILL THIS HAVE O	N BUDGET, FACILITIES/SPACE, FACULTY, ETC.							
	by a faculty member, and each seminar will be delivered by							
	cholar. Occasionally, graduate students may be granted a							
	to provide them an opportunity to practice a presentation for							
	ipate a negative budget impact. The course will be offered on							
offer the course on selected rural campi	ery version may also be offered and in the future we may							
	uses.							
19. LIBRARY COLLECTIONS Have you contacted the library collection develop	oment officer (kljensen@alaska.edu, 474-6695) with regard to the adequacy							
of library/media collections, equipment, and serv	ices available for the proposed course? If so, give date of contact and							
resolution. If not, explain why not.								
No √ Yes Current li	ibrary resources are satisfactory; no additional library							
20. IMPACTS ON PROGRAMS/DEPTS								
What programs/departments will be affected	by this proposed action?							
Include information on the Programs/Departments con-	Include information on the Programs/Departments contacted (e.g., email, memo)							
	research and creative scholarship at UAF and provide							
	ers to present their work. In so doing, this seminar course will raduate research and contribute to the mission of making UAF							
	sed research universities. Thus, URSA 492 can be expected to							
	nts, especially those whose projects are presented.							
21. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS	, 1							
Please specify positive and negative impacts on other courses, programs and departments resulting from the proposed								

We anticipate that the course will represent an important recruiting platform for prospective

expanding their scope or spreading the workload effort of instruction/coordination.

undergraduate students and, generally, will have a positive effect on UAF enrollment, retention and student engagement. The only potential for negative impact is if any student research seminars are already being offered within departments and schools; there could be competition for student enrollment. Biology previously had such a course; however, there are currently no instructors available for Biology 492. If such courses exist, cross-listing with URSA could be beneficial by

3

JUSTIFICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED

The purpose of the department and campus-wide curriculum committees is to scrutinize course change and new course applications to make sure that the quality of UAF education is not lowered as a result of the proposed change. Please address this in your response. This section needs to be self-explanatory. Use as much space as needed to fully justify the proposed course.

Providing opportunities for undergraduate research is a high impact educational practice. In the current economic climate and in the face of rising tuition costs, such high impact practices are essential to successful recruiting and retention of students at post-secondary learning institutions. In recognition of this, the UAF Chancellor and Provost have created URSA. The mission of URSA is to support, develop and institutionalize a broad-based and robust program of undergraduate research and creative scholarship. At all levels, URSA aims to improve skills in critical thinking and communication and to engender a culture of life-long learning among all students, as well as enhance preparation and education of students who will fill the needs of Alaska's 21st century workforce and society. URSA is UAF's resource for the development and promotion of experiential learning activities that engage undergraduate students to support UAF's goal to become a leading student-focused research university. Building on existing efforts and capacities, the program enables UAF students to pursue varying levels of research engagement from a single credit of first-year seminar to independent scholarly investigations or a senior thesis including BFA exhibit or performance. Communication of results is an important part of the research process, and URSA 492 will afford students an opportunity to orally communicate their research findings. These opportunities will have a preparatory benefit; they will help develop and improve communications skills, which are essential for success in any field. For those students who aspire to post-graduate research positions, the opportunity to develop skill communicating research will be particularly beneficial.

APPROVALS: Add additional signature lines as needed. AS PER ATT	ACHED.
	Date
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	·
	Date
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:	·
	Date
Signature, Dean, College/School of:	
	Date
Signature of Provost (if applicable) Offerings above the level of approved programs must be approved in ad	vance by the Provost.
ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION	TO THE GOVERNANCE OFFICE
	Date
Signature, Chair Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGAAC Core Review SADAC	
Cole ReviewSADAC	

PPROVALS: Add additional signature lines as needed.	
	Date
Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	
nane	Date
Signature, Chair, College/School Curriculum Council for:	Date
mane A. Ha	Date 8/9/204
Signature, Dean, College/School of:	Date 8/9/204
Signature of Provost (if applicable)	Date
Offerings above the level of approved programs must be approv	ed in advance by the Provost.
ALL SIGNATURES MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO SUBMI	SSION TO THE GOVERNANCE OFFIC
	Date
Signature Chair	Date
Signature, Chair Faculty Senate Review Committee: Curriculum Review G	
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewG	
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewG	
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewG	
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewG	GAAC
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC	GAAC
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC	GAAC
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC DDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or st	GAAC
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC DDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or statement) Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	GAAC
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC DDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or st	Jacking) Date
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC DDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or statement) Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	Date Date
Faculty Senate Review Committee:Curriculum ReviewGCore ReviewSADAC DDITIONAL SIGNATURES: (As needed for cross-listing and/or statement) Signature, Chair, Program/Department of:	Jacking) Date

Preliminary Syllabus: This syllabus for URSA 493P 201103 serves as an example **URSA 492** Undergraduate Research and Creative Scholarship Seminar

Fall 2011

CRN TBD 1 Credit

Day; time TBD Schaible Auditorium

Dr. Barbara Taylor (betaylor@alaska.edu) Instructor: 301 Bunnell (URSA Office) Office: 474-2487

Office hours: The URSA Office is open weekdays 9AM to 5PM

Course description: This is a once-weekly seminar for undergraduate student researchers providing them a venue for presenting their research and learning about peer research at UAF. Instruction on effective seminar preparation and delivery is given by the instructor or guest expert. Example seminars may be given by graduate student researchers. The majority of presentations are given by students who present their active or proposed research. Each enrolled student is required to present one research seminar as well as attend the weekly presentations and complete evaluation forms. Seminars will vary in length from 10 to 60 min. Multiple seminars may be given in a single class meeting. In addition to facilitating the gain and practice of oral presentation skills, the seminars will provide an overview of the diversity of research at UAF. Students will gain a broad understanding of the significance process and impact of research as conducted as conducted across the wide range of scholarly disciplines represented on all the UAF campuses.

Course goals: Students will gain and sharpen their critical thinking and presentation skills as well as learn about a variety of life science topics from the molecular to the organismal, to the population level. They will also sharpen their critical thinking and presentation skills.

Instructional methods: This course will comprise student-led presentations about current research topics at UAF.

Course readings/materials:

Students may wish to refer to: 1.

> The Craft of Scientific Presentations: critical steps to succeed and critical errors to avoid by Michael Alley 2003 Springer

for instruction on preparing and making scientific presentations

2. Occasionally, journal articles resulting from UAF research may be presented, and students will be emailed a pdf copy of the article prior to the presentation.

Policies: Students are expected to attend and complete an evaluation for all seminars.

Assignments: Students will be informed of each seminar topic one week in advance. Students will research, using the internet, the topic and write a two-paragraph research-reflection on what they learn through their internet search and how their expectations for the seminar have been shaped by their research. The research-reflection is due at the seminar. Following the seminar students will write a two-paragraph seminar-reflection articulating what they learned from the seminar and how their views, formed through the internet search, were reshaped by the seminar itself. They will also

be asked to reflect on the quality of the presentation and describe what aspect they believe worked best and which worked poorest. Together, these three paragraphs compose the seminar-reflection. Seminar-reflections are due at the seminar; thus, two assignments will be turned in at all seminars except the first and the last. Seminar-reflections for the final seminar are due one week after the seminar. Reflection assignments will not be accepted if the student does not attend the seminar. Students do not complete reflection assignments for the seminar they deliver.

Grading: Each reflection (one research-reflection and one seminar-reflection for each of approximately 15 seminars – 30 reflections in all) will be graded out of ten points by the instructor (aided by a TA if class size warrants). Each student's seminar will also be graded by the instructor out of ten points. Rubrics for the reflections and student seminars are included at the end of this syllabus. This is a Pass/Fail course. Students must accumulate 210 points on their assignments to pass the course.

Disabilities: The instructors will work with the Office of Disabilities Service (203 WHIT, 474-7043) to provide accommodations in both the classroom and laboratory to provide equal access to all materials in this course to all students.

Course calendar: The following is a PRELIMINARY seminar schedule.

DATE	SPEAKER	SEMINAR TITLE
SEP		
6	Course Introduction	
15	Barbara Taylor	Effective Research Presentations
22	Webinar and sign-up	
29	Enrolled student	
OCT		
6	Enrolled student	
13	Enrolled student	
20	Enrolled student	
27		
NOV		
3		
10		
17		
24	TH	ANKSGIVING - NO SEMINAR
DEC		
1		
8		

URSA 492 Rubric for Reflective Writing – Research Reflection

Student: Date:

Category	Excellent 5	Good 4	Average 3	Poor 2	Unacceptable I	Total
Process	Clearly illustrates a thorough web search of the seminar speaker and the specific topic. States specific expectations for the seminar and the anticipated level of interest for the topic.	Illustrates a good web search of the speaker and specific topic. Includes a good statement of seminar expectation and anticipated level of interest in the topic.	Illustrates a moderate web search of the speaker and specific topic. Includes a statement of seminar expectation and anticipated level of interest in the topic.	Illustrates a superficial web search of the speaker and specific topic. No statement of seminar expectation or anticipated level of interest in the	Illustrates a superficial web search of the speaker and specific topic. No statement of seminar expectation or anticipated level of interest in the topic	
Writing Style	Communicates effectively to audience. Writer's voice is evident throughout reflection. Does not use cliches or colloquialisms Thoughts are well organized and presented with no ambiguity. The writing is focused throughout the reflective piece. Writer supports and elaborates on ideas to enhance meaning.	Communicates effectively to audience. Writer's voice is evident in many parts of the material. Scattered use of cliches and colloquialisms. Thoughts are organized and logically presented. Some portions of the material are more developed and focused than others. Writer supports some ideas with examples.	Communication is directed at a general audience and needs elaboration. Writer's voice is clouded through the use of cliches or colloquialisms. Thoughts are general, random, or are not presented in a manner that can easily be followed. The material presented is scattered with gaps and needs transitions.	Communication is incomplete and unelaborated. Writer's voice cannot be discerned either because the communication lacks focus or development, or because the use of jargon is excessive. Thoughts are presented in very general or incomplete terms	Communication is disjointed and unelaborated. Communication lacks focus or development. Thoughts are presented in incomplete terms. There is an obvious need for additional information.	
Critical Thinking	Reflection demonstrates an indepth reflection on, and personalization of the information found during the web search. Viewpoints and interpretations are insightful and well supported. Clear, detailed examples are provided, as applicable.	Writing demonstrates general reflection on, and personalization of, the information found during the web search. Viewpoints and interpretations are supported. Appropriate examples are provided, as applicable.	Writing demonstrates a minimal reflection on, and personalization of the information found during the web search. Viewpoints and interpretations are unsupported or supported with flawed arguments. Examples, when applicable, are not provided or are irrelevant to the assignment.	Writing demonstrates a lack of reflection on, or personalization of the information found during the web search. Viewpoints and interpretations are missing, inappropriate, and/or unsupported.	Writing demonstrates a lack of reflection on, of the information found during the web search. Viewpoints and interpretations are missing, inappropriate, and/or unsupported. Examples, when applicable, are not provided.	
Development	Well-developed; shows evidence of reflection and/or metacognition; reflects a good grasp of concepts and information found during the web search.	Shows somewhat developed evidence of reflection and/or metacognition; reflects a fair grasp of the concepts and information found during the web search.	Shows some evidence of reflection but not well-developed; only a few new ideas are introduced but reflects a grasp of concepts and information found during the web search.	Shows little evidence of reflection and are not well developed; reflects only minimal grasp of concepts and information found during the web search.	Not much thought or detail; shows little evidence of reflection or grasp of concepts and information found during the web search.	

Additional comments:

URSA 492 Rubric for Reflective Writing – Seminar Reflection

Student: Date:

Category	Excellent 5	Good 4	Average 3	Poor 2	Unacceptable I	Total
Process	Clearly illustrates new learning from the seminar, how views changed from research reflection, Articulates why actual level of interest in the seminar matched or did not match anticipated interest.	Illustrates learning from the seminar and how views changed from research reflection. Indicates whether actual level of interest in the seminar matched anticipated interest and offers some explanation.	Illustrates some learning from the seminar and how views changed from research reflection. Indicates whether actual level of interest in the seminar matched anticipated interest but offers little explanation.	Illustrates some learning from the seminar and how views changed from research reflection. Indicates whether actual level of interest in the seminar matched anticipated interest but offers no explanation.	Illustrates no learning from the seminar or change in views from research reflection. No indication of whether actual level of interest in the seminar matched anticipated interest.	
Writing Style	Communicates effectively to audience. Writer's voice is evident throughout reflection. Does not use cliches or colloquialisms Thoughts are well organized and presented with no ambiguity. The writing is focused throughout the reflective piece. Writer supports and elaborates on ideas to enhance meaning.	Communicates effectively to audience. Writer's voice is evident in many parts of the material. Scattered use of cliches and colloquialisms. Thoughts are organized and logically presented. Some portions of the material are more developed and focused than others. Writer supports some ideas with examples.	Communication is directed at a general audience and needs elaboration. Writer's voice is clouded through the use of cliches or colloquialisms. Thoughts are general, random, or are not presented in a manner that can easily be followed. The material presented is scattered with gaps and needs transitions. Writer presents ideas in general terms with few	Communication is incomplete and unelaborated. Writer's voice cannot be discerned either because the communication lacks focus or development, or because the use of jargon is excessive. Thoughts are presented in very general or incomplete terms.	Communication is disjointed and unelaborated. Communication lacks focus or development. Thoughts are presented in incomplete terms. There is an obvious need for additional information.	
Critical Thinking	Reflection demonstrates an indepth reflection on, and personalization of the information gained during the seminar. Viewpoints and interpretations are insightful and well supported. Clear, detailed examples are provided, as applicable.	Writing demonstrates general reflection on, and personalization of, the information gained during the seminar. Viewpoints and interpretations are supported. Appropriate examples are provided, as applicable.	Writing demonstrates a minimal reflection on, and personalization of the information gained during the seminar. Viewpoints and interpretations are unsupported or supported with flawed arguments. Examples, when applicable, are not provided or are irrelevant to the assignment.	Writing demonstrates a lack of reflection on, or personalization of the information gained during the seminar. Viewpoints and interpretations are missing, inappropriate, and/or unsupported. Examples, when applicable, are not provided.	Writing demonstrates a lack of reflection on, of the information gained during the seminar. Viewpoints and interpretations are missing, inappropriate, and/or unsupported. Examples, when applicable, are not provided.	
Developmen t	Well-developed; shows evidence of reflection and/or metacognition; reflects a good grasp of concepts and information gained during the seminar.	Shows somewhat developed evidence of reflection and/or metacognition; reflects a fair grasp of the concepts and information gained during the seminar.	Shows some evidence of reflection but not well-developed; only a few new ideas are introduced but reflects a grasp of concepts and information gained during the seminar.	Shows little evidence of reflection and are not well developed; reflects only minimal grasp of concepts and information gained during the seminar.	Not much thought or detail; shows little evidence of reflection or grasp of concepts and information gained during the seminar.	

Additional comments:

URSA 492 Student Project Presentation Rubric

Student:	 Date:

TIME LIMITS: 40 minutes for pi	resentation	10 min	utes for questions
Description	Max	Points	Comment

Description	Max. Points	Points Earned	Comment
Title	2		
Background Information / Significance of, and motivation for, the project	4		
Goal pursued or hypothesis tested (quality and originality)	4		
Methods and materials (explanation of how the project was carried out)	5		
Explanation of results or products	4		
Discussion of the impact or relevance of the results or product to the discipline	4		
Future directions(s)	3		
Acknowledgements	2		
Subject command (depth of background knowledge)	5		
Quality of responses to questions	5		
Delivery of presentation (poise, voice, enthusiasm)	4		
Timing of presentation (conforms to time limits, well-paced/not rushed, adequate time allocated to all components of the talk)	4		
Preparation (quality of visual aids)	4		
Total Points	50		