

UAF North Campus Subcommittee Agenda December 15, 2003; 12 p.m. (noon) Facilities Services Conference Room, RM 122	No agenda available
---	---------------------

UAF North Campus Subcommittee Meeting
December 15, 2003
Notes

Attending:

Members - Carol Adamczak, Rich Boone, Tim Stallard, Deborah Wilkinson

Consultants - Pete Fix, Mike Hay

Public - Stan Justice

Visiting - Lilly Capell, ASUAF Vice-President.

Approved with amendments minutes of 12\8\03 meeting.

Public Comment

Stan went to the Master Planning Committee (MPC) meeting last week. There have been changes made to the Parking and Circulation Plan, and he wanted to be sure the NCS has received copies of the changes. Concerns of the NCS have definitely had an impact on the Parking and Circulation Plan; however, there is still only mention of mitigation and no mention of the North Tanana Loop Extension Project, as a whole, being reconsidered. The MPC meeting on January 8, 2004 should have a NCS representative attending.

Discussion with Lilly regarding reopening of campground

1. There is an interest in the student governance in both the senate and executive branches to reopen the campground. Currently there is no money to do this, but it is included in a bond issue that is to be voted on.
2. There should be a detailed health and safety analysis before any decisions are made regarding the campground. Health and safety are primary concerns in planning for such a facility. Water and bathroom facilities would have to be built.
3. When the campground was open in the past there were problems with the manager position being part-time. There are records showing problems with presence of transients, sexual assault, drugs, alcohol, and sanitation.
4. After student governance crafts a memo, the NCS can make a recommendation regarding how to approach a new campground.
5. There is a question as to whether a campground supports the values and mission of the North Campus Area (NCA).
6. It would not be appropriate for the North Campus Manager (NCM) to be responsible for management of a campground. Possibly Residence Life would manage a campground.

General Discussion

1. The NCS will seek guidance on what makes a quorum, so constraints on decision-making will be known.
2. It is important the meeting process is seen as transparent. There was question amongst some MPC members regarding a meeting between members of the NCS and members of the Nordic Ski Club of Fairbanks.
3. The MPC is moving ahead with the Parking and Circulation Plan trying to incorporate concerns of the NCS. A draft of the Parking and Circulation Plan has been sent out. NCS members should read the plan and make recommendations to the MPC this week. It was noted that there is not a consensus within the NCS on specifically what recommendations should be made to the MPC.
4. Another memo from the NCS will be sent to the MPC this week providing further information on impacts of the Tanana Loop Extension to the NCA and its uses.
5. The North Campus Plan (NCP) history is very thorough in some areas, but there are some gaps in the history. History of trails and geoscientific history

is sparse. This should be acknowledged in the history section, so there is no misunderstanding about the diverse nature of land use in the NCA.

6. At past meetings the NCS agreed that the history discussed in the NCP will be restricted to currently active Memoranda of Understanding. A more detailed history will be available via the NCS website and the NCM.
7. Results and summary of public scoping meetings held in Spring of 2003 should also be linked, but not contained within the NCP.
8. It would be beneficial to keep copies of certain documents, such as the NCP and results and summary of the public scoping meetings, at the Library.
9. If the format of the NCP is good then Pete can move forward with putting the finishing the first draft. There are some strong statements in the NCP that the NCS may not agree on as a whole. These will have to be decided before the NCP is given to the MPC.
10. Regarding the Master Plan - the MPC put a lot of work into producing the MP. There were very few votes on contentious issues. Most of the issues were decided on by discussion.
11. The Action Item section of the NCP should be prioritized and have a timeline added to it. Included in the Action Item section should be an interim plan since the NCM will likely not be hired for a few years.
12. Action Items could be elaborated upon. As written they seem to assume a level of familiarity that not all readers will have.
13. Researchers do not yet officially know of the impending permit system.

Next meeting scheduled for 1\12\04

Will discuss - NCP, and interim plan to carry over until NCM is hired.