

<p>UAF Master Planning Committee Agenda</p> <p>Thursday, January 18, 2001; 9 – 11 a.m. Chancellor's Conference Room; Signers' Hall Room 330</p>	<p>Chair's report</p> <p>Present status of consultant hire for development of the new campus master plan Carol Adamczak (DD&C)</p> <p>Draft recommendation on "ATCO" issue Report of drafting committee</p> <p>Draft recommendation on "Super-computer Building" Report of drafting committee Draft comments on snow-machine issue Report of drafting committee</p> <p>Discussion concerning the history and present status of the trails plan</p> <p>Members' Issues</p>
--	---

Master Planning Committee Meeting

January 18, 2001

Notes

Members Present:

Brian Barnes, Cindy Branley, Deb Brownfield, Catharine Copass, John Craven, Don Foley, Clark Milne, Mike Supkis

Absent:

Brodie Anderson, Chris Bennett, Rick Caulfield, Terrence Cole, Kathleen Schedler, Joe Trubacz, Bob Wheeler

Guest:

Carol Adamczak (DD&C)

Handouts:

- Draft recommendation to the chancellor related to a new building for "computational research and technology-enhance instruction"
- Memo from Mike Supkis to VC Williams (dated November 29, 2000)
- "Old is New Again on Campus". Article in U.S. News & World Report, January 15, 2000 by David L. Marcus.

Chair's report

No report.

Present status of consultant hire for development of the new campus master plan

By common agreement with all members of this committee, the meeting on February 29 with WRT will be 10-11 AM. We will hear their view on the process and we will do a "once around the table" for all members to give a short statement on their views, concerns, etc.

Draft recommendation on temporarily modular units (Provost request)

The drafting committee (Brian Barnes and Kathleen Schedler) has not met or talked. The discussion yielded more comments (see notes from the last meeting):

- We should just use the "spaceship" recommendation from last year as it has the same appearance; a rush to judgement with little consideration of building codes.
- Appears that five modular units are being considered; offices and at least one lab; one at the farm; largely around Arctic Health.
- May not all need to have plumbing.
- Some units might find later use at the Toolik Lake Field Station.
- Need to get the campus' attention about planning. Opposed to temporary buildings.
- The life science building is getting some study money in the '02 budget.
- Computer building is not going to impact the life science building, according to Jim Johnsen.
- Animal facilities in Irving were done in 1966. Could soon be condemned. Work then stops.
- Need high-tec labs.
- Need a large lecture hall or auditorium.

- Will need some modular units in the short term to makeup for eight years of neglect. Try to limit their use. Follow all building codes.
- Placement should have no impact on trails, circulation patterns, and public green spaces.
- Must state time for removal.
- All initiatives must include full costs for permanent homes; show us the money.
- Function is a primary consideration in placing the units, but parking lots before green spaces.

Brian Barnes will complete a first draft of the recommendations before leaving on travel.

Draft recommendation on building for "computational research and technology-enhanced instruction." (VC Williams request)

The drafting committee (Deb Brownfield and John Craven) submitted a partial first draft for the committee's consideration. The discussion yielded the following comments:

- Has an odd feeling about placing it south of Butrovich.
- It should not be located between Butrovich and the greenhouse.
- There is a serious concern for its impact on the museum.
- Will we be looking at parking lots when we look towards the museum from the Parks Highway?
- We have too little information from the administration on the functional needs and other possible locations.
- Why is it critical that it be in near contact with Butrovich? Why not between Butrovich and IARC? Help hid IARC visually.
- We simply don't know enough about the administration's planning for new facilities.
- It is getting ahead of the new master planning effort.

No other actions were taken with regard to the draft recommendation. The committee requested that a letter be drafted to the provost specifically about the life sciences building. Brian Barnes has agreed to prepare a first draft before leaving on travel.

Snow Machines on Campus

The drafting committee (Mike Supkis and Joe Trubacz) submitted Mike's earlier memo to VC Williams (dated November 29, 2000) concerning the issue of a UAF snow machine and ATV policy and for which no reply has been received. Once again, Brian Barnes volunteered to prepare a draft recommendation. It was agreed that the Supkis memo, and the memos from Jamo Parrish (UA general counsel) and from the former head of Risk Management (Joe Adams) would be attached to that recommendation to the chancellor.

Discussion concerning the history and present status of the trails plan

Insufficient time. Deferred to the next meeting.

Next MPC meeting

Monday, January 29, 2001

10:00 —11:00 AM, Chancellor's Conference Room

Meet with the consultants from WRT as part of kickoff in developing the new campus master plan.

Thursday, February 8, 2001

9:00 —10:30 AM, at a location TBD

Continue work on recommendations as per notes above.