

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>UAF Master Planning Committee Agenda</p> <p>Thursday, February 22, 2001; 9 – 11 a.m. Chancellor's Conference Room; Signers' Hall Room 330</p> | <p>Chair's report</p> <p>Members' Issues</p> <p>Status of Master Plan development Deb Brownfield (chair of MP Working Group)</p> <p>Recommendation on temporary modular units Discussion of second draft and approval.</p> <p>Recommendation on ATVs and snow- machines Discussion of draft and approval</p> <p>Draft recommendation on building for "computational research and technology-enhanced instruction." Discussion of current draft and what do we know (?)</p> <p>History and present status of the trails plan Discussion and plan for approval and input to Master Plan</p> |
|---|---|

Master Planning Committee Meeting

February 22, 2001

Notes

Members Present:

Brodie Anderson, Brian Barnes, Chris Bennett, Cindy Branley, Deb Brownfield, Rick Caulfield, Catharine Copass, John Craven, Clark Milne, Kathleen Schedler, Mike Supkis, Joe Trubacz, Bob Wheeler

Absent:

Terrence Cole, Don Foley

Guests:

Carol Adamczak (DD&C), Debra Damron (Director, University Relations)

Handouts:

- Information package from WRT (Includes a draft of the report outline)
- Article for Alumni Newsletter, "Campus Building Plan Supports Academic Growth"
- Art in Public Places (list)

1. Chair's Report

Returning to the issue of the Natural Sciences Building, Kathleen was right in that I had overstated an issue in my "epiphany" on the NSF. Continued inquiries however have shown that several issues are real:

1. The long delay between initial plans and construction exposed the need for upgrades to the designs that nearly didn't happen (e.g., adding lines for computers);
2. There was some motion towards adding the SAR facility at the GI to the list of occupants ("because they needed space"), but just think about how that would work today given that the building is full and SAR has expanded considerably (relevant to the Boyer suggestion about adding the ARSC building need to the Bioscience building need); and
3. It demonstrated once again the very great importance of a well-established "users committee" and a close working relation with the architectural firm that understands at the outset the needs for an academic building.

2. Members Issues/Information

- Joe Trubacz - SW has agreed to assign some initiative money to the development of designs for the UAF and UAA science buildings. The Business Council will discuss it today. The intention is to have the initial designs done by October for inclusion in the FY03 capital budget.
- Mike Supkus - Funding for "Disaster Resistant University." Must try to avoid problems by becoming less susceptible to the consequences of natural disasters; earthquakes in AK, for example. FEMA can then pay out less

money for disasters. UC Berkeley started with a \$100K grant and now it is up to \$43M.

- Bob Wheeler - (a) Don't forget the Forest Demonstration Project. (b) Hard to find a good place on campus to hold conferences; have to go off campus. Learned today at meeting that UAF's Conferences and Special Events office is now closed. (c) Winter provides visual evidence of pedestrian traffic patterns, and we should take advantage and record this before the snow melts.
- Brodie Anderson - Reinforcement on the issue of pedestrian traffic patterns; some walks are where students aren't and aren't where students are.
- Clark Milne - Confirming that the Chamber of Commerce meeting is on June 5.

3. Status of Development of the new Master Plan

A continuation of the discussion on communication during development of the master plan. Plan is to start with smaller groups at the grassroots and build to larger on- and off-campus meetings. The UAF Alumni Newsletter will be the first piece on the street. Will develop information pieces and a fact sheet.

Need to develop an awareness of the value of the planning program. June appears to be a good month for the larger community meeting (e.g., Chamber of Commerce meeting is scheduled for June). However, nearly all students and many faculty members will no longer be on campus. Can't get their attention after about May 1. Must work this issue. Don't want them coming back in the fall and be surprised by what has been developed and ready for final discussions in September.

Discussed ways to get the message out, with Deb and Debra working with volunteers; ASUAF and club council (Brodie Anderson), Graduate Student Organization (Catharine Copass), Staff Council (Debra/Deb), Faculty Senate (John Craven). Need to address directly faculty at individual department meetings (Deb/Carol). Use the Sun Star. It is recognized that this is not a simple task and that no matter how hard one tries, there will be some folks who will think they have not been listened to or were unaware of any of this.

Deb wants a subcommittee to work the communications issues; Deb and Debra with volunteers Cindy Branley, Clark Milne, and Brodie Anderson.

This plan is not targeted at the academic programmatic issues, but the academic plan's facility needs; this is for physical development of the campus based on its academic needs.

Members are reminded to submit to Deb Brownfield items that have been discussed in the past by the MPC and for which we always said they should be part of the new master plan. The time is now.

Clark will invite DOT to our next meeting to discuss the Loftus Road entrance; where are we?

4. Recommendation on temporary modular units

An exclusion was inserted for modular units associated with construction work on campus and a statement was added that the recommendations apply to new and replacement units. The recommendation was accepted by consensus and is to be forwarded to the chancellor and the provost.

5. Recommendation on ATVs and snow-machines

The draft recommendation was reviewed and the issue of an exclusion for the campus perimeter discussed. The decision was made to remove Recommendation 5 that supports the creation of perimeter trails (i.e., and then is consistent with the SW recommendation) and to simply state that this issue of perimeter and other trails around the campus requires cooperation between the borough and the university. If the larger issue of trails in the borough is confronted, then the university needs to become involved. Clark Milne and John Craven will prepare the modifications and forward copies to MPC members. Several adjustments in wording were approved for Recommendation 3. The revised draft will be on the agenda for the next MPC meeting.

6. Recommendation on building for "computational research and technology-enhanced instruction."

We are still short on information for this issue, but need to send the chancellor and the VCAS a progress report. The issue was raised about integration of this facility into the new bioscience facility (the Boyer suggestion). It was decided that Deb Brownfield and Mike Supkis would draft a statement concerning the issues discussed in this meeting and add it to the draft recommendation before we submit the draft as a progress report.

7. Other agenda items deferred to the next meeting

History and present status of the trails plan

8. Next MPC meetings

Thursday, March 8, 2001

9:00 —11:00 AM, Chancellor's Conference Room

Committee of the Whole: Master Plan Working Group

Thursday, March 22, 2001

9:00 —11:00 AM, Chancellor's Conference Room

Regular MPC Meeting.