AGENDA
UAF STAFF COUNCIL #245
Wednesday, Dec. 11, 2013
8:45-11:00AM
Wood Center Ballroom

Audio Conference information: 1-800-893-8850, Participant PIN: 8244236

I. 8:45 – 8:55 CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL
   A. Call to Order
   B. Roll Call
   C. Approval of Agenda for Staff Council Meeting #245
   D. Approval of Minutes for Staff Council Meeting #242

II. 8:55 – 9:00 STATUS OF PENDING ACTIONS
   A. Staff Council Resolution 2013-244-2: Resolution in Support of Employee Tuition Waiver
      - Attachment 245-1: Approved Resolution 2013-244-2 with Memo to Staff Alliance
      - Attachment 245-2: Response from Staff Alliance Chair, Carey Brown, Regarding Staff Council
        Resolution 2013-244-2

III. 9:00 – 9:05 PUBLIC COMMENT

IV. 9:05 – 9:15 OFFICER REPORTS
   A. Brad Krick, President
   B. Ashley Munro, Vice President

V. 9:15 – 9:25 CHANCELLOR’S REMARKS
   - Chancellor Rogers will be allowed to speak when he joins the meeting.

VI. 9:25 – 9:35 GOVERNANCE REPORTS
   A. David Valentine, President – Faculty Senate
   B. Cordero Reid, Senator – ASUAF

VII. 9:35 – 9:45 UNFINISHED BUSINESS
   A. Smoke-Free / Tobacco-Free Campus
   B. Fall Even Unit Election Update

VIII. 9:45 – 9:50 BREAK
IX. 9:50 – 10:05  NEW BUSINESS

A. Tuition Waiver / BOR Meeting Plan
   • Attachment – Last page of agenda: Public Comment Participation at Board of Regents Meeting - Flyer

B. Additional Staff Council Meeting Scheduled for Dec. 19, 2:00 PM, Location TBD

X. GUEST SPEAKER (none)

COMMITTEE REPORTS

XI. 10:05 – 10:20  INTERNAL COMMITTEE REPORTS

A. Elections – Richard Machida, Chair
   • Has not met

B. Membership and Rules – Kirsten Bey, Acting Chair
   • Attachment 245-4: December Committee Report

C. Rural Affairs – Jeannette Altman, Chair
   • Attachment 245-6: December Committee Report

D. Staff Affairs – MaryAlice Short, Chair
   • Attachment 245-7: December Committee Report

E. University Advocacy – Lesli Walls, Chair
   • Has not met

*Committee Chairs: Please forward your reports to uaf-staff-council@alaska.edu

XII. 10:20 – 10:30  EXTERNAL STATEWIDE COMMITTEE REPORTS

A. Staff Alliance- Staff Health Care Committee – Debbie Coxon, Lesli Walls, Chris Bekas (Alt), David Bantz (Alt)
   • Committee report forthcoming

B. Staff Alliance Compensation Working Group – MaryAlice Short & Mike Cox
   • Has not met

*Committee Chairs: Please forward your reports to uaf-staff-council@alaska.edu

XIII. 10:30– 10:40  EXTERNAL UAF COMMITTEE REPORTS - Written Format

A. Accreditation Steering Committee – Vacant
   • Representative Needed

B. Chancellor’s Advisory Committee for the Naming of Campus Facilities – Debbie Coxon
   • Has not met

C. Chancellor’s Diversity Action Committee (CDAC) – ON HIATUS
D. Chancellor’s Planning and Budget Committee – INACTIVE (Active only in spring)

E. Food for Thought Committee - Tom Langdon

F. Intercollegiate Athletic Council – Debbie Coxon & Jesse Atencio
   - Has not met

G. Master Planning Committee (MPC) – Richard Machida, Brad Krick, Alt
   - Attachment 245-5: December Committee Report

H. Meritorious Service Awards Committee – INACTIVE (Active only in spring)

I. Parking Advisory Committee (PAC) – Tom Langdon (INACTIVE)

J. People’s Endowment Committee – Debbie Gonzalez

K. RISE Board – Ian Olson

L. Staff Day Planning Group – Ashley Munro and Maria Russell
   - Has not met

M. Technology Advisory Board Committee (TAB) – Adam Kane & Chris Bek
   - Committee report forthcoming

*Committee Chairs: Please forward your reports to uaf-staff-council@alaska.edu.

**IV. 10:40 – 10:45 AD HOC COMMITTEE REPORTS

A. 2014 Staff Council Calendar Ad Hoc Committee
   - Attachment 245-3: December Committee Report

**V. 10:45 – 10:50 ROUND TABLE DISCUSSION

**VI. 10:50 ADJOURN
MEMORANDUM

TO:    Carey Brown, Chair  
       UA Staff Alliance

FROM:  Nicole Dufour, Executive Secretary
       UAF Staff Council

DATE:  November 20, 2013

SUBJECT: UAF Staff Council Resolution 2013-244-2: Resolution in Support of the Employee Education Benefit – the Tuition Waiver

The UAF Staff Council respectfully submits the attached 'Resolution in Support of the Employee Education Benefit – the Tuition Waiver' to UA Staff Alliance and asks that it be placed on record. UAF Staff Council unanimously approved this resolution at Staff Council Meeting #244, on November 19, 2013.

A copy of this resolution is attached. Please contact the UAF Staff Council Office if you have any questions or need additional information.

Attachment

cc:    Brian Rogers, Chancellor, University of Alaska Fairbanks  
       LaNora Tolman, Executive Officer, UA System Governance
University of Alaska Fairbanks
Staff Council

UAF Staff Council unanimously approved Resolution 2013-244-2, at Staff Council Meeting #244, on November 19, 2013.

Resolution 2013-244-2: Resolution in Support of the Employee Education Benefit - the Tuition Waiver

WHEREAS, the tuition waiver is an important benefit to employees that differentiates the university from other employers; and

WHEREAS, many public institutions provide tuition waivers for employees and almost half provide tuition waivers for dependents; and

WHEREAS, the waiver keeps us competitive with peer institutions; and

WHEREAS, the waiver is a mechanism for increasing the value and performance of its employees and provides “continuing opportunities for training and development” as per Regents’ Policy 04.01.010; and

WHEREAS, any change to the current Employee Education Benefit (tuition waiver) as it currently exists would only affect non-represented staff and not current union employees; and

WHEREAS, the tuition waiver is a valuable recruitment tool for the University of Alaska; and

WHEREAS, employees taking classes are thus closer to the classroom and more in touch with students and student concerns; and

WHEREAS, employees utilizing the waiver allow the university to offer more classes and not cancel classes due to low enrollment; and

WHEREAS, the tuition waiver brings more students to the classroom and thus increases the efficiency and effectiveness of faculty and facilities; and

WHEREAS, the tuition waiver supports President Gamble’s goal in Shaping Alaska’s Future of retaining Alaska’s students by encouraging employees, and their spouses and dependents to attend the University of Alaska; and

WHEREAS, the tuition waiver brings more traditional and nontraditional Alaskan students to the university; and

Resolution 2013-244-2 – Approved
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WHEREAS, the University of Alaska System is already facing competition from outside distance education providers, the reduction of the Employee Education Benefit would reduce the incentive for rural employees, and their spouses and dependents, to attend University of Alaska distance courses; and

WHEREAS, the tuition waiver increases the fees received by the university in addition to the other non-tuition expenditures by these students; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the UAF Staff Council supports the tuition waiver and opposes any change to 04.06.010 Employee Education Benefits that would limit education benefits; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the UAF Staff Council requests that proposed changes to the education benefits be brought to governance groups for consideration and feedback; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED that the UAF Staff Council requests that the University of Alaska Board of Regents reject any changes to Regents’ policy 04.06.010 at this time.

Brad Krick, UAF Staff Council President

11/20/2013

Date
Good afternoon UAF Staff Council,

I would like to formally accept your staff council’s resolution for the record. UA Staff Alliance appreciates your involvement and expressed support of this very important topic.

Your sentiments will be shared through the UA Staff Alliance testimony at the December Board of Regents’ meeting in Fairbanks.

Thank you.

Carey D. Brown
Chair, UA Staff Alliance

Carey D. Brown, MPA
Adjunct Faculty
Coordinator of Academic Advising
UAA College of Health
3211 Providence Drive, PSB 101C
Anchorage, Alaska 99508
Office: 907-786-4417
cbrow159@uaa.alaska.edu
Staff Council Calendar Committee Report – December 2013
Greg Simpson, Chair

The 2014 UAF Staff Council Calendar had differing design and text edits completed in the month of Nov. and part of Dec. Committees members, some Staff Council members, and one volunteer provided design or text edits. The final version and files were provided to Nicole Dufour, Staff Council Executive Secretary. Hopefully before the end of Dec. printed versions will be available for distribution (UAF Printing Services will provide the printing service). A thank you note, via email, will be sent out to all those who submitted in 2013, or had prior year submissions reviewed this year.
TO: Staff Council  
FROM: Kirsten Bey, Acting Chair of Membership & Rules Committee  
DATE: 12-3-13  
RE: Membership & Rules Committee Report for Dec Staff Council Mtg  

***********************************************************  
Current committee members continue to be Kirsten Bey (acting chair), Chris Bekx & Toni Abbey. We would welcome additional members.  

Our action items continue to be the same as last report:  

- Review the Parliamentary Procedure materials to prepare a brief presentation/training to staff council members for the February 2014 Orientation Staff Council Meeting  
- Bone up on particular parliamentary procedures so that the committee is able to answer parliamentary procedure questions that may arise during meetings  
- Review the bylaws to make sure the language accurately reflects the changes that were recently enacted and to see if there are any inconsistencies, outdated provisions, or other things that need changing.  

Next regular meeting is Monday, Jan 6th at 3:00 PM.  

Tentative work session meeting planned for Friday, Dec 20th at 2:00pm to divvy out assignments for the parliamentary procedure training.
MEETING MINUTES
UAF Master Planning Committee

Meeting Agenda
Thursday, November 21, 2013; 9-11AM
Chancellor’s Conference Room (SIH 330)

1. Welcome & Introductions

2. Comments from the MPC on the Exterior Lighting Master Plan
   Lighting options will be provided for trails that require lighting, on an as needed basis. If one of these trails is crossing over a road, should it burn all night? Or if people want to go and intentionally see the moonlight and stars, is this when it should turn off?

3. Update on the Power Plant New Boiler Building
   The new boiler terminology is the Atkinson Plant. The project scope is decommissioning the existing coal boilers that are 50 years old and constructing two new coal/biomass capable ones, and a new scheme turbin, which will be adjacent to the current plant. This new sizing could handle heating and powering campus needs for next 20 yrs. There’s a 50 yr design life on the equipment, but that’s how they’re sized, and it’s difficult to predict the future loads of energy conservation. It’s almost a certainty that campus will grow over the next 20 years. There is a site plan that shows the new facility is adjacent to the existing facility. Immediately east where there’s parking, the Facilities Services greenhouse has a storage building which needs to be relocated. The new facility will be connected with a bridge that will carry piping and pedestrian traffic adjacent to Alumni Drive. Railroad will be relocated to south side of property for fuel deliveries. One item about the new plant which will be extremely distinctive is it will be much taller than the existing plant. Technology is called circulating fluidized boiler, fuel and fans keep combustion process 100 ft. high, that circulates around until all fuel is completely combusted. It circulates limestone and it absorbs a lot of pollutants which are present in the stream: sulfur, acid gasses and other things. For emission control there will be a bag house and an injection of sodium bi-carbonate that gets put into the exhaust stream and captured in bag house to be consistent with clean air regulations. The emissions from the new plant will represent significant reduction of pollutants, except for carbon dioxide. There is a reduction of mercury in the plant. Because of the size of the plant, however, mercury regulations are minimal. The key thing is visual impact. The site in the latest was identified for expansion of the plant, in 2010. The main thing that is going to be different which we made comments on is the visual impact from various places on campus. It will be significantly taller than the existing plant, (100 ft tall vs. the current 60
ft tall structure). The design team has put quite a bit of effort into what can be done to minimize the impact. They provided basic design data for a cost estimate, and we put some thought into the areas that need attention. Having a big, rectangular box shape is an architectural challenge. There are limited opportunities with graphics. Introduction of windows, or relief around the side would provide some break up so you don’t have a big flat insulated panel to stare at. The whole south side will be solar panels. There is room for vegetation around Alumni Drive side. This will likely have a fence around entire facility and chain link probably on south side, but probably will need architecturally pleasing fence around Alumni Drive. It is the first building to greet people coming on campus, so it is great to have a wall of solar panels, but driving in or out you would never notice they were there so maybe put one little solar panel on the corner so the public knows it’s partly solar generated. This is going to become a directional landmark for the university. This is the way most people get to the university so we need to make it aesthetically pleasing. Yukon Drive and Tanana Loop entrances will not be impacted, it will be at Alumni Drive. We have some idea of what it will look like from Duckering, and Bunnell, and it’s not a significant impact, surprisingly. The stacks will be 200 ft high, while the existing stacks are 75-80 ft high, so that will be noticeable, but the discharge of the gasses will be much higher and out of the field of view. A natural gas plant would be harder to permit, because it’s an uncontrolled exhaust and an entirely different permitting process. Two years ago we saw planning money from the legislature, that’s how we advanced the plan to this point in preparation we have a little bit of money left but not much. Chamber has endorsed this project, but it’s also a legislative project with the borough. GVEA and oil costs will decrease. If someone loaned UAF the money we could pay it back off on a non-interest loan in 20-30 years, just on cost savings. Assuming there’s no additional cost on coal. This is going to the Board of Regents in December for final approval. I would expect the fence might come up as options if it’s more of a solid fence, it might become a mural and go through the art department. Will need significant funding, that may be expected to be here by next fall. The old building will remain intact. Will be decommissioning the coal boilers. The water treatment systems will remain. The piping systems originated there. Two existing oil boilers (one is converted to gas the other is dual fuel) will remain and will act as back-ups.

4. **Subcommittee reports**
   a. **North Campus** – The windstorm did hit it pretty badly. Jason cleared 51 trees that had fallen across trails. A tree went down on a set of lights, and they had to shut them off for a day. Still four left that need to be reattached to system. Trails are officially cleared. There are some branches and brush that can’t be groomed out yet, but it tears up the track center so we’re hoping for snow. If you do see anything, shoot her an email and Jason will clear it up.
   b. **Campus Landscape** – Has not met.
   c. **Art on Campus** – Has not met.
d. **Trails Planning, Review of the Revised UAF Trails, Goals, Objectives and Performance Measures**

Been working with Jonathan and north trails folks, and tasked with looking at the trails primarily in the core part of the campus, and looking at connectivity. What kind of challenges and opportunities do we have with ours at UAF? Comments are welcome. What do you think the trails need to look like. Important questions: “What challenges or obstacles do you see currently that discourages you from using trails?” “What opportunities do you see to build a better trail system?”

Phasing and prioritization. Hopefully this plan will lead to implementation. This is meant to be a plan that has a final product that makes people feel as if their voices are heard. The campus is used in two ways: one to get to class or work functionally for staff or students. The other part is how people recreate and get around and connect to those trails. Some students whom do not want to live in Fairbanks, want no snow to get to classes, want a heated bubble tunnel to get around. Other students don’t want things changed because they like Fairbanks, they want to climb over the dome, or hill or go through path in the forest. There’s a different dichotomy in how people use trails. Some people want the experience of the trails, and are out there and enjoy the temperatures and there are some people that just want the ease of getting their coffee. One other thing is the way people are transporting. The technology has changed. People are cruising through on their bikes that are winter capable. This is also the first-generation of people that are relying on phone apps as “way finder” tools to navigate trails. Changing some of the way those trails are perceived. If you were on the trails before on skis, now bikes are coming through. This is a great way to entertain tourists, if you bring visitors you can encourage walking tours to experience the campus. To let you know where we are within the process, we have discovery week. After this, we’ll be working on mapping and our plan is to draft and document in January/February, and then enter into a review and approval process that takes it forward. We have the blog up and running. There’s not a whole lot on it yet. We’ve summarized sessions we’ve had and posted them on to it. That’s the grand overview, before going around the table. Questions about process or how?

“Where is the blog located”? On the web www.uaf.edu/trailsandgreenways.blogspot.com I will send out an email about it.

“Does your scope include considering the interconnectivity regarding the borough trails?” Some people have been very clear about connections to the ends. “Can we go over the process again?” We’ve taken existing conditions mapping. This week we are trying to fill in all of the missing links. There will be a map that comes forward with decisions that need to be made on it. Talking with Bear, he points out that any trails through some of the wilder parts of campus really need to have a clear width of about 8 feet. In winter we have to have room for snow. The taller
vegetation has to be managed if you want that kind of trail to be proactively maintained so you can at least get a bobcat down there, which generally gives you about a 3.5-4.5 foot groove through the snow. To have a successful and multi-modal trail, that’s what we have to do. ADA accessible, or wide enough so someone can pass someone on skis, that’s an impact. There’s going to be an aspect of planning, maps with colors and dashes it will show which hierarchy of levels of use/management use. If you have a foot path and it becomes three feet wide, you have too many users on it for it to remain a footpath, so you either discourage people from using it or you define what the aesthetics are so we have to get people off this trail or zig-zag the path down the hill. Management tools are really important on trails. This needs to have enforceability at a design point of view. Community feedback question: “Is the survey the best way for people to give comments?” For people who can’t come, the blog is excellent. One is the survey to stakeholders with specific questions; the other comment collector on the blog is strictly for comments. They can say they support it or leave it the way it is, that’s a good way to get involved in this process. Staff and faculty make up a big part of the Westridge trail as users, not just students. They did contact certain groups within Westridge, and everyone whose been identified to use the outside space for an activity, as to faculty and staff they may be using it to get to their car and such. Staff and faculty use the trails for walking trails. There is no shuttle bus system from the Westridge to the SRC because of the construction. Haven’t seen anything that takes Westridge into the western part of lower campus. We can still get blog info up. Post discovery week people can still contribute to blog, and even take the university map, draw on it, scan it in, and post it to show what they do. All of the maps are posted for everyone to print. They are meeting with the campus sustainability group who they are interfacing with. Also having an open session this evening, five to seven at the Wood Center and we’ll even have pizza to lure people in. The Hulbert Nanook Terrain Park is changing it’s boundaries for this coming season to continue all the way up to Yukon Drive and as a state license ski center which you have to do for liability reasons, they have to control access, so that’s going to change seasonally some of the trails. Unless you have a ski pass and the training you’re not supposed to transect that. If you have a pair of stairs that goes up here, so people can have access down to that landing to extend it up to satisfy the Westridge to SRC trail concerns, have it land at the top of the ski hill so people going up can have a spot for their skis. Need an interim trail that doesn’t make too much of an economic investment, once we’re done with Troth Yedda’ we’ll have an establishment. People like this path primarily in the winter time because it allows them to go straight instead of around, in the summer it’s not an issue because it’s nice out and they can take that longer walk. People just don’t want to walk along Yukon Drive because of the cars and the exhaust and
stuff. There needs to be an east to west walking corridor that needs to be developed. Campus lighting: whether these need to be illuminated or not. Safety of seeing large moose and supplementary lighting. Concept of level and service. Needs to be well maintained and lit. What is our required level of service? If it’s not the shortest path, people will still go through the woods. Once a trail becomes a ski trail, rather than a walk trail, then you can’t have it be a walking trail anymore. Anything we put in academically has to be multi-use, and anything we do recreationally we have to keep walkers off it. Academic versus Recreational trails will be different. Sheep Creek runs on commuter trails, and Raphaelle finds it dangerous in winter because signs are not cleared very well, she has to jump into snowbank when cars come. Tanana Loop she doesn’t feel super secure on a bike or running, is it a maintenance issue or what? Generally, walkers or runners need more connectivity between segments of walking trails right now I feel like there are trails going here and there but to make a big loop it’s hard in the winter. Wood Center between “Himalaya Trail”, some people are really here for the wilderness - we don’t want to kill that. We should provide a safe connection for people not interested in Himalaya trail. The issue of side-by-side biking and roadways: Yukon and Tanana Loop, there’s a bike path on the inside of the curve, which disappears this time of year and nobody uses it for that purpose and cars cut that corner. If the striping was better I think people would be cutting corner less. Desire lines can be optimized. Want to keep trails quiet, away from road traffic. Parking is an issue. Parking meters? Confusion about when parking is enforced after/before hours. Non-campus regulars also don’t understand the parking rules. Ballaine and Sheep Creek and the lookout are good free parking for the community who are not UAF affiliated. There is vegetation on the trails by the roads that is dangerous for bicyclists. ROTC invited to describe their training path and that is one of their main concerns. There’s controversy to access and research at Tea Field Rd. and keeping that plowed in the winter time is hard because it’s a ski trail so it doesn’t get plowed. But in breakup people drive on it anyways, we’re trying to restrict access from it because of that. If we have 3 or 4 people fall off a trail, we need to fix that. It would be great to have a walking trail to go to the museum and botanical gardens, and nature trail to connect everything. Planning up to this point has been good people are on the same page. Farmer’s Loop area there was a discussion about people crossing that road, lots of housing, and there are no traffic islands here.

5. **Facilities Services update**

   a) There have been some meetings for the Sustainable Village master plan. Jonathan thinks this is funded. Laura says it won’t just be for students but a more diversified
body which may include faculty and staff housing. Possibly open to other people also?

b) There is also a master plan for the [Calypso?] Farm. Needs to identify some funding.

c) Bunnell completed the replacement of the old concrete stairs to metal.

d) Construction work is continuing at the Wood Center building. Dan Sizer is looking to see what’s going to be done around the Wood Center as there is a challenge for people trying get through at the Gruening and Wickersham turn around, to make a better drop off/pick up area. Bus shuttle is small up at the Wood Center and that area does need to be reworked because there are borough buses and people coming to pick up their spouses or kids. As a part of this effort we will end up with some plan to make easier access to core campus. Nothing formal yet, but Dan Sizer is working on it.

6. **Public comment period** – None

7. **Schedule** – Next meeting will be on Thu. Dec. 5th, 2013 which is in two weeks.

*Lighting Master Plan Document is available at [http://webshare.alaska.edu/shambare/](http://webshare.alaska.edu/shambare/)*
Staff Council Rural Affairs
December Meeting Report

12/3/2013

Present:
Jeannette Altman (Chair), Brad Krick, Christian Bekis, Kirsten Bey, Adam Kane, Evelyn Pensgard, Benjamin Tucker

Excused:
Ashley Munro, Sara Battiest, Travis Brinzow, Deb Jeppsen, Richard Machida, Greg Simpson, Nicole Dufour

Both the December agenda and November meeting minutes were approved without amendment.

The Kay L. Thomas Pathfinder award is still on track – they are a little behind on getting the call for nominations out, but they are working on it! Sherrie Rahlfs is in charge of the award this year and will contact Jeannette when they get their timeline together.

There is no formal report on Geographic Differentials but Brad will send out some documentation for the good of the order via email.

Regarding the Ombudsman Proposal – it was suggested that, due to lack of volunteers in the greater UAF Staff Council population, that Rural Affairs takes on responsibility for wording of proposal. The proposal will be crafted over email.

Jeannette will follow up with the Diversity & Equal Opportunity office to see when or if a visit is planned out to rural campuses.

Next Meeting:
January 7, 2013 10:00 am
In Fairbanks: Kayak Room
Online in Google Hangout (see calendar invite)
Dial in at 1-800-893-8850, PIN: 8244236
Staff Affairs Committee  
December 4, 2013  
1:30 – 2:30 p.m.  
Library Room 503 -

Meeting Notes  
Members Present: Jennifer Youngberg, Acting Chair, Juella Sparks, Kirsten Bey, Jarkko Toivanen, Brad Krick, Ashley Munroe and Nicole Dufour (Guest)  
Members Absent: MaryAlice Short, Erin Albertson, Jesse Atencio, Kim Eames, Juan Guola.

Meeting was called to order at 1:33 p.m.

Notes:
Main topic of discussion was the Board of Regents Meeting and testifying on December 12, 2013 in support of the employee tuition waiver benefit.
Brad Krick was going to speak with Jeannette Altman to see if she could put together a poster to be distributed to the staff council list serve.
Staff Affairs is recommending public comment on Thursday, December 12, 2013 at 8:30 a.m. Juella indicated she would be in attendance during the CHRO discussion of the employee tuition waiver benefit and would be willing to offer public comment on Friday, December 13, 2013 to dispute anything said during Thursday’s presentation. Other staff council members should be encouraged to attend as well.
We had discussion of bringing a signature sheet to the BOR meeting to have all those in attendance sign it so the BOR could know how many in the room are there for support of the tuition waiver benefit. Nicole is to bring this sign-up sheet to BOR meeting.
Trish Winners sent to Brad the verbiage that was used for design and constructions petition of support. Brad will send this out to all unit reps in order to collect signatures of support. Council members should bring these petitions either to Nicole at the Staff Council meeting on December 11 or be sure to bring them to the BOR meeting on the 11th.
It was noted that public comment is limited to only those in Fairbanks, no audio public comments; therefore rural sites are encouraged to sign a petition or submit their comments to be read by other staff council members during public testimony. Nicole is arranging with Martin Miller to have a 2nd shuttle run the route between Wood Center and Butrovich to bring people up to the BOR meeting.
Brad and others from staff council will be available to read written comments and they will also be given to the BOR at the conclusion of public testimony.
There is currently no official action scheduled to be taken at the BOR meeting but may come up again in the future. There was a discussion that perhaps this is a test to see how much response the BOR gets. We need to show them this time around how important it is to staff. We also discussed that several seats on the BOR will be expiring soon and environment may be right to make some hard decisions.

Staff affairs also discussed the status of the Tobacco free campus. It is recommended we put together a resolution relating to what specifically it is UAF would like to see (i.e., smoking enforcement of 50 feet from all entries). There is going to be a clean-air campus committee and staff council may be asked to have 1 member and an alternate on this committee. Brad will send Nicole the invite.

Discussion of the performance evaluation issue was also brought up. We need to decide what we would like to have done with the survey. Do we want to have comments publicly available? We should work closely with Statewide on their response – is statewide follow-up on use from SW governance. Brad will ask at Alliance for an update.
We need to confirm with staff council as a whole as to what we should do with the survey results.

In January staff affairs should look at discussing a proposed code of conduct from the SW Admin Assembly. Right now it is proposed staff alliance will be giving this to staff council for comments. Staff Affairs may want to read the previous comments from the first go round on the code of conduct proposed by the President. Juella said we might want to suggest that alliance sit down the president and discuss with him what we would like to see.

As of now there is no staff affairs meeting date in January proposed. May need a new chair come January.

Meeting was adjourned at 2:36 p.m.
It’s your Employee Education Benefit...

Tell the Board of Regents you want them to STOP efforts to diminish it!

What can you do?

Be in Butrovich 109 by 8:30 on Thursday (Dec 12) to support the waiver. No public speaking required!

If you’re willing, sign up for public comment and share your personal story about what the waiver means to you.

Provide written comments; they’ll be submitted to the Board at the meeting.

Sign the Staff Council petition in support of the waiver (see your Unit Rep for more information. Visit our website to find your Unit Rep!)

http://www.uaf.edu/uafgov/staff-council/

What: December Board of Regents Meeting in Fairbanks

Where: Butrovich 109

When: Public Comment: 8:30 AM
Thursday, December 12

How to get there: The Yukon Route Shuttle
(Wood Center to Butrovich)
There will be an extra shuttle on this route from 8:00 - 10:00 AM

UAF Staff Council
uaf-staff-council@alaska.edu
907-474-7056