



This cultivar, Kansas, was one of 25 varieties tested. —Georgeson Botanical Garden Collection

PEONIES FOR FIELD CUT FLOWER PRODUCTION FIRST-YEAR GROWTH

Patricia S. Holloway

Janice T. Hanscom

Grant E.M. Matheke

Peonies grow well in interior Alaska and have been a favorite garden flower for more than 50 years. Given ample snow cover and a warm, sunny location, the plants bloom reliably for many years. Because of the short growing season that begins in early May, peonies do not bloom until mid to late summer. This bloom cycle contrasts with lower latitudes, where peonies bloom mostly in May and June (Gast 2000). More than

half (52%) of all peony cut flower stems sold at Dutch flower auctions occur in May followed by June with 44% of total sales (Pertwee 2000). Because of this difference in bloom time, peonies grown as cut flowers in Alaska may provide an extended commercial season for the national cut flower markets. No large-scale commercial production of peonies has been attempted in Alaska. With the exception of home garden trials, little is known



Cultivar Karl Rosenfeld. —Georgeson Botanical Garden Collection

about cultivation, especially as a field-grown cut flower. The purpose of this project is to identify peony cultivars suitable for use in commercial cut flower production in Alaska and to identify potential pest and disease problems that might influence management of commercial fields. This progress report shows results of the first season following planting. Because peonies are not commercially harvested until at least the fourth year after planting, the data are preliminary.

One hundred fifty peonies were purchased during the fall of 2000, potted into ProMix horticultural peat-lite mix, and stored at 35°F (4°C) until April 2001. Pots were moved to a greenhouse with a minimum night temperature of 50°F (10°C) until 1 June, when they were moved to a cold frame for hardening and additional growth. The containerized peonies were planted between 15-20 August into a 2 x 9 ft (6 x 18 m) plot located on a south-facing slope at the Fairbanks Experiment Farm's Georgeson Botanical Garden.

Peonies were planted in Fairbanks silt loam soil in double-row raised beds covered with landscape fabric; each row was equipped with a double row of Ro-drip trickle irrigation. Spacing was 18 inches (46 cm) between plants within each row and between adjacent rows on the same 39-inch-wide (1.0-m) raised bed. Each raised bed was 59 inches (1.5 m) on center between rows. Experimental design consisted of six replicates with a single plant each of thirty cultivars listed below. Guard rows of 'Sarah Bernhardt' peonies were planted at the ends of each row and along the length of each row on the east and west edges. Plots were mulched with spruce branches in October 2001. Because stems were not harvested, no data were analyzed statistically during year one.

Most peonies survived the winter despite a lack of snow early in the season. Cultivars showed a great diversity in vegetative growth, ranging from less than one vegetative stem per plant to more than 12 stems (Table 1) Plant height is related to latitude and duration

of winter chilling temperatures. For instance, average stem length in Fremont, California, is 25 cm; in Pantego, North Carolina, 45 cm; and in the upper Midwest states, 60–90 cm (Stimart 1985). First-year stem length in our trials averaged 46 ± 12 cm and ranged from 0.3 cm to 63 cm.

All cultivars bloomed except for Jaycee, Mrs. FDR, and Shawnee Chief. The bloom season began 30 June and extended through the first week of August. All of the chosen cultivars were listed in commercial sources as early- or mid-season bloomers at lower latitudes, with May and June bloom times (Stimart 1985, Gast 2000). Some differences in bloom times were recorded for the Alaska-grown plants, but factors such as number of blooms per plant and number of plants with blooms have an influence on length of bloom season. The bloom time shown in Table 1 does not correspond with the harvest time for cut flowers because no stems were harvested. The data show total bloom times for first-year garden plants.

The most common diseases of peonies are gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*), Peony leaf blotch (*Cladosporium paeoniae*), Phytophthora blight (*Phytophthora cactorum*), root rots (*Fusarium* sp., *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* or *Thielaviopsis basicola*), and root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne* sp.). Gray mold can be so severe as to jeopardize cut flower production for many years (Stevens et al. 1993, Stimart 1985). No disease or insect pests were recorded on any of the cultivars during the first season.

This first-year project has shown that a variety of cultivars may be grown in Alaska, and future selections may be possible for seasonal bloom times. Additional cultivar selection for late-season cultivars may extend the harvest season into late August. Floral stem lengths were well within the range of cut flower production from lower latitudes, but one season is too early to predict flower quantity and quality in each cultivar. This project will be continued for at least nine years.

References

- Gast, K.L.B. 2000. 1997 Production and post harvest evaluation of fresh-cut peonies. Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Available on line at: www.oznet.ksu.edu/library/hort2/Samplers/SRP818.asp.
- Pertwee, J. 2000. International cut flower manual. Elsevier International Business Information. Doetinchem, The Netherlands.
- Stevens, S., A.B. Stevens, K.L.B. Gast, J.A. O'Mara, N.A. Tisserat and R. Bauernfiend. 1993. Commercial Specialty Cut Flower Production. Peonies. Kansas State University. Cooperative Extension Service, Manhattan, Kansas. Pub. MF-1083.
- Stimart, D.P. 1985. Strategies of growing fresh cut flowers of *Astilbe*, *Liatris* and *Paeonia*. In: Commercial field production of cut and dried flowers. Center for Alternative Crops and Products. University of Minnesota and the American Society for Horticultural Science. 121–131.



Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station

University of Alaska Fairbanks
AFES Publications Office
P.O. Box 757200
Fairbanks, AK 99775-7200
fynrpub@uaf.edu • www.uaf.edu/snras
907.474.6923 or 907.474.5042
fax: 907.474.6184

NOTE: Research Progress Reports are published by the Alaska Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station to provide information prior to the final interpretations of data obtained over several years. They are published to report research in progress but may not represent final conclusions.

To simplify terminology, we may use product or equipment trade names. We are not endorsing products or firms mentioned. Publication material may be reprinted provided no endorsement of a commercial product is stated or implied. Please credit the researchers involved, the University of Alaska Fairbanks, and the Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station.

The University of Alaska Fairbanks is accredited by the Commission on Colleges and Universities of the Northwest Association of Schools and Colleges. UAF is an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer and educational institution.