**Final Evaluation of Biological Sciences Capstone Project**

**I. Written report**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Date:  | Student's Name:  | UAF ID#:  |
| Project Title:  |
| Research Project Supervisor:  | Reviewer:  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yes(excellent) | Somewhat(adequate) | No(inadequate) |
| 1. Is the capstone project the product of data collection and/or analysis by the student?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Does the capstone paper make a compelling argument for the significance of the student’s research within the context of the current literature?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Does the capstone paper clearly articulate the student’s research goals?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Are the methods appropriate given the student’s research agenda?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Is the data analysis appropriate and accurate?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Does the author interpret the results skillfully and accurately?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Are the tables and figures clear, effective and informative?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Is there a compelling discussion of the implications of findings?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Is the literature review appropriate and complete?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Are the citations presented consistently and professionally throughout the text and in the list of works cited?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Is the writing appropriate for the target audience?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. Is the paper clearly communicated and free of language errors?
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

Reviewer signature: **II. Oral Presentation**

Date of presentation:

Circumstances of presentation (e.g. class presentation, Research Day poster presentation, conference oral presentation):

Assessment of presentation quality:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Excellent [ ]  | Adequate [ ]  | Inadequate [ ]  |

Comments on oral presentation:

**III. Non-technical summary**

Date:

Assessment of non-technical summary quality:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Excellent [ ]  | Adequate [ ]  | Inadequate [ ]  |

Comments on non-technical summary:

Reviewer signature: