1. Assessment information collected

   Comprehensive Exam Rankings, Thesis or Project Rank, Defense Rank, and Time to complete the degree were collected from all graduates.

2. Conclusions drawn from the information summarized above

   One student was graduated within this review cycle with high scores on Comprehensive Exam, Thesis/Project and Final Defense. Overall there is not enough data to arrive at meaningful conclusions. This data will be combined with next review cycle.

3. Curricular changes resulting from conclusions drawn above

   No curricular changes were recommended for this review cycle.

4. Identify the faculty members involved in reaching the conclusions drawn above and agreeing upon the curricular changes resulting

   Student's committee members were all acknowledged experts in Arctic Engineering and agreed student learning was adequate.