AMENDMENT TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
SRC Solar PV Project

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NO. 12P0003SAS
Procurement Officer: Scott A. Snedden
Issue Date: October 14, 2011

AMENDMENT NO. 2
Dated: November, 7, 2011

ISSUED TO:
All Prospective Offerors

Dear Vendor:

The following clarifications and changes have been made to Request for Proposal No. 12P0003SAS for SRC Solar PV Project:

The submittal deadline of November 10, 2011 at 4:00 P.M. AT has been extended to November 17, 2011 at 4:00 P.M. AT.

Notice: the ‘bidders list’ is available to download on the solicitation web page.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT
This Amendment must be signed and returned with your proposal or otherwise acknowledged prior to the closing date and time listed above. If you have already submitted a proposal and need to make corrections, submit a revised Rate Response Form with this Amendment prior to the closing. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

_________________________________  ____________________________
Bidder  Signature

_________________________________  ____________________________
Name & Title  Email  Date

Sincerely,
UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA FAIRBANKS
Scott A. Snedden
Senior Contracting Officer
1 Substitution Requests:

- The substitution request from North Coast Electric for an alternate inverter, panel, and powerbox is acceptable as qualified (see below).

Solarworld PV panels seem to be an acceptable substitution for the Kyocera panels power and quality is a bit better panel, however the size is more than just slightly longer (the width is approximately the same). These Solarworld panels they submitted on are almost 7 inches longer. In the configuration on the design drawings this would mean almost another 21" of height for the array. This would put the panels either in another configuration or higher/lower than we have on the building. This difference could be accommodated by extending the solar panel bracket beyond what we had intended but would need to be looked at in a shop drawing layout to confirm the panels would acceptably fit into the available space. This is why we chose the Kyocera panels in the design, but if the contractor is willing to accommodate these physical differences I don't take any exception.

The Power Boxes they submitted on by Tigo Energy appear to be similar in specification to the SolarEdge Power Boxes and I would not take exception to their use.

The PVP6.0 HE Inverter by Advanced Energy also appears to be comparable to the SolarEdge SE6000US unit specified in the design documents and I would not take exception to this unit.

Overall it appears this equipment is of equivalent quality and/or better and I do not see anything except the physical sizes which would cause me any concern. I believe the contractor can accommodate these size differences so I don't see any reason not to accept these as legitimate substitutions.

- Substitution request from Steelfab is accepted for thermal spray metalizing-zinc.

2 Vendor questions follow by answers:

What are the funding Stream origins for this project Federal /grants? Is it just a student tax that is initiating this?

It is just a student tax or student sustainability fee call the SIREN fee, Student Initiative for Renewable Energy Now.

Where was this financing model example taken from? Is there a specific working example for a project this size I can look at?

These are two documents we used for examples.
We looked at the book: A business case for renewable and energy: A guide for colleges and universities.

Page 7 of procurement paragraph 1 "students are seeking a partner within the Fairbanks community in order to further the project" Does this preclude out of city companies from participating?

No, they are open to any partner.

This would be a power purchase agreement has this been cleared thru the State RCA and with Golden Valley Electric?

Golden Valley does not matter, as this will be on the UAF line. We are not sure about State RCA.

Can we offer alternative financing methods?

Yes, we want folks to come back with other ideas.