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INTRODUCTION

Congratulations on actively pursuing a smarter research strategy for your institution. Your Account Manager, Tim Otto, will follow up with you soon to ensure you have received your report and to discuss the findings.

With reliable, objective data on research performance at your institution, you’ll be better equipped to:

- Validate and quantify executive decisions
- Support funding, assessment, and accreditation activities
- Promote research accomplishments and attract top talent

As you know, having access to verifiable data from a reputable third-party resource can be of great value to meet the increased demands for transparency and accountability. And employing statistical evidence to guide the future of your institution’s research can be even more valuable.

That’s why many of the world’s leading research institutions use Thomson Reuters to evaluate performance, inform policy, and define strategy. Now it’s your turn.

ABOUT THE REPORT

This Research Performance Comparisons report has been created specifically for your institution, based on your selected key strategic identifiers. The Research Performance Comparisons report was developed for use as a management tool to provide institutional research executives with information on research performance and may be shared internally with colleagues for purposes of evaluation. The report was not designed to assist students or faculty in the selection of a university. Please note the data tables and individual performance results are proprietary of Thomson Reuters and may not be used in marketing or promotional materials for your institution.

The data and graphs included in this report are available in InCites™, the citation-based research evaluation tool available online. InCites is based on the authoritative publication and citation data found in Web of Science™, the world’s largest citation database, and includes baseline statistics and value-added metrics unique to Thomson Reuters. Considered by many to be the gold standard of citation indexing, Web of Science contains more than 46.1 million records and covers more than 11,000 journals and 110,000 conference proceedings.

This complimentary report has been hand-crafted for the purposes of demonstrating a portion of the information Thomson Reuters can provide to your institution. We offer a wide range of solutions used by administrators for purposes of research evaluation and knowledge management, and can work with you to identify the solution that best meets your institution’s needs.

YOUR CUSTOM REPORT SELECTIONS

STRATEGIC IDENTIFIERS

Your Institution: University of Alaska Fairbanks
Peer institution 1: Univ Montana
Peer institution 2: Univ Idaho
Peer institution 3: Oregon State Univ
Subject area for comparison with your peers: Geosciences

Subject areas to compare performance within your institution:
Subject area 1: Geosciences
Subject area 2: Biology & Biochemistry
Subject area 3: Engineering
Subject area 4: Physics

CONTACT DETAILS

First Name: Susan
Last Name: Henrichs
Job Title: Provost
Institution: University of Alaska Fairbanks
Email: smhenrichs@alaska.edu
Phone: 907 474 7096
Contact preference: Contact by email
Ordering for someone else? No
RESEARCH PERFORMANCE – PEER COMPARISONS

The following graphs show research data on the University of Alaska-Fairbanks and UAF peers as indexed in the Web of Science. With this information, you can:

• Compare research output to impact in relative terms
• Monitor your institution’s productivity against your peers
• Support accreditation and funding activities with objective measures
• Stay ahead of the competition by tracking changes in research strength

VOLUME OF OUTPUT

This graph shows the volume of research produced in the last five years by UAF in comparison to your identified peers. The data in this graph includes documents across all subject categories and does not take into account subject area or time period differences.
RELATIVE IMPACT
This graph shows the relative impact of research for all disciplines across the selected institutions. By normalizing data for subject area, time, and average influence differences, you can gain a more accurate understanding of how your institution is performing against your peers.
SUBJECT AREA PERFORMANCE

This graph shows data specific to the productivity of the discipline you’re interested in. By tracking activity in five-year increments, you can see trends over time.

InCites™

COMPARE FIELDS IN INSTITUTIONS 5 YEAR TRENDS

Graph Overview: Oregon State University produced the greatest volume of research in the 80’s and 90’s for Geosciences, but this volume is being matched by the University of Alaska-Fairbanks over the last decade. This dramatic increase in research output has occurred for UAF, while the University of Idaho and the University of Montana increased slightly in their research output for Geosciences. Are UAF & OSU aware of this? Are these changes in line with their current mission and goals? Or do they need some internal analysis to reflect in overall performance?
RESEARCH PERFORMANCE — University of Alaska-Fairbanks

The following graphs provide aggregated information to enable comparisons at the institutional level. More detailed data and reports are available and can be customized specifically for your institution. These graphs deliver information on performance within your institution, which can help you:

- Identify new areas of growth and align proper resources and staff
- Allocate funding strategically according to changes in research focus
- Deliver evidence of productivity and impact for promotional purposes

INTERNAL PRODUCTIVITY

This graph shows the overall volume of work published over the last five years in the subject areas you chose when creating your report. The data shown here provide concrete examples of productivity across the disciplines and are often reflective of department size.
INFLUENCE WITHIN THE FIELD

This graph measures the influence of your institution’s research in five year trends across the fields you selected and compares it against field norms. This perspective may show a typically productive subject area has, in fact, little impact in its overall field.

InCites™

COMPARE FIELDS IN INSTITUTIONS 5 YEAR TRENDS

Impact Relative to Subject Area 5 Year Trends

Institution, Subject Area
- UNIV ALASKA FAIRBANKS - Biology & Biochemistry
- UNIV ALASKA FAIRBANKS - Engineering
- UNIV ALASKA FAIRBANKS - Geosciences
- UNIV ALASKA FAIRBANKS - Physics
SUMMARY

We hope you have found the information delivered in your custom report to be unique, insightful, and actionable.

Whatever your institution’s particular needs are — a one-time performance report, a fully integrated platform for ongoing evaluation, or a personalized consultation with custom analysis — Thomson Reuters has a solution.

For more information about our solutions for evaluating and managing research at your institution, please contact Tim Otto using the information provided below.

Thomson Reuters
Healthcare & Science

Tim Otto
Research University Account Manager
800-336-4474 - ext. 5358

timothy.otto@thomsonreuters.com

1500 Spring Garden St
Fourth Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19130

Learn more about our offerings at www.elevateresearchexcellence.com.